1	ORI	GINAL			
2 3 4	Date:	July 19, 2012	0000138254		
5	To:	Docket Control			
6		Arizona Corporation Comm	ission		
7		1200 West Washington St.			
8		Phoenix, AZ 85007			
9					
10	From:	Robert T. Hardcastle			
11		Payson Water Co., Inc.			
12					
13	FOR FII	LING ORIGINAL AND 13 COPIES INT	O:		
14					
15		DOCKET NO. W-03514A-12-0007			
16					
17		Smith vs. Payson Water Co.			
18					
19		$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}}$			
2021		NOW			
22	By:	\ \times\ \			
23	27.	Robert T. Hardcastle			
24			**************************************		
25			50 \$ 3		
2627					
28		Arizona Corporation Commission	30		
29		DOCKETED	THE JUL 30 A II: 15		
30		JUL 3 0 2012	RSSIC SSIC		
31 32		DOCKI ILIDIA	Z o		
33		X			

34 35

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 3 Robert T. Hardcastle CEIVED 4 Payson Water Co., Inc. 2012 JUL 30 A 11: 15 P.O. Box 82218 5 6 Bakersfield, CA 93380-2218 CORP COMMISSION DOCKET CONTROL 7 Representing Itself In Propia Persona 8 **COMMISSIONERS** 9 Gary Pierce, Chairman 10 11 Paul Newman. Commissioner 12 Brenda Burns, Commissioner 13 Bob Stump, Commissioner 14 Sandra D. Kennedy, Commissioner 15 Docket No. W-03514A-12-0007 16 IN THE MATTER OF J. ALAN 17 SMITH, COMPLAINANT 18 REJOINDER TESTIMONY OF 19 PAYSON WATER CO., INC. 20 VS. 21 22 PAYSON WATER CO., INC., 23 RESPONDENT 24 25 On January 10, 2012 Complainant Smith (hereafter "Complainants") filed a 26 Formal Complaint into Docket No. W-03514A-12-0007 based on previously submitted 27 28 informal complaint number 2011-99889. On February 2, 2012 Payson Water Co filed an Answer to the Complaint and a 29 30 Motion to Dismiss. 31 On February 16, 2012 Complainant filed a Reply to Payson Water Co.'s Answer. 32 On February 23, 2012 a Procedural Order was issued scheduling a procedural conference for March 9, 2012. 33 34 On March 9, 2012 a Procedural Conference was conducted with the Parties. 35 On March 29, 2012 Payson Water Co. filed a supplemental Motion to Dismiss.

1	On March 30, 2012 Payson Water Co. filed a Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities,
2	Inc. as a party to the Complaint.
3	On April 3, 2012 Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's
4	Motion to Quash Brooke Utilities, Inc. as a party to the Complaint.
5	On April 3, 2012 Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's
6	Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Deny.
7	On April 9, 2012 Payson Water Co. filed a Reply to Complainant's Response to
8	Payson Water Co.'s Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Deny.
9	On April 9, 2012 Payson Water Co. also filed a Reply by Payson Water Co. to
10	Complainant's Response and Objection to Respondent's Motion to Quash Brooke
11	Utilities, Inc. as a Party to the Complaint.
12	On April 13, 2012 Complainant filed a Response and Objection to Respondent's
13	Reply to Complainant's Response to Respondent's Motion to Dismiss and Deny.
14	On April 20, 2012 the Utilities Division of the Arizona Corporation Commission
15	("Staff") filed a Notice of Filing regarding the status of a subpoena issued to Martin's
16	Trucking.
17	On May 3, 2012 Staff filed a Status of Mediation indicating that a settlement was
18	not reached by the parties and requested a hearing be scheduled.
19	On June 18, 2012 a Procedural Order was issued which set forth the hearing date
20	of August 7, 2012 and the compliance dates and deadlines as it relates to this Docket. In
21	addition, the Procedural Order provided that Payson Water Co. and Staff shall file
22	responsive rejoinder testimony no later than July 30, 2012 (see Procedural Order at page
23	2, lines 19-20).
24	Accordingly, attached hereto is the Rejoinder Testimony of Payson Water Co., Inc.
25	filed to this Docket on or before the requisite date.
26	- M.,
27	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2012 day of July 2012.
28	Payson Water Co., Inc.

29

3 4

1

2

5 ORIGINAL and 13 copies filed this 2012, with:

7

- 8 Docket Control
- 9 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 10 1200 West Washington St.
- 11 **Phoenix, AZ 85007**

12

13 And copies mailed to the following:

14

- 15 Lynn Farmer, Administrative Law Judge
- 16 HEARING DIVISION
- 17 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 18 1200 West Washington St.
- 19 Phoenix, AZ 85007

20

- 21 Arizona Reporting Service, Inc.
- 22 2200 No. Central Ave. Suite 502
- 23 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481

24

- 25 Bobby Jones
- 26 Lois Jones
- 27 7325 No. Caballero Rd.
- 28 Payson, AZ 85541

29

- 30 Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
- 31 Legal Division
- 32 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 33 1200 West Washington St.
- 34 Phoenix, AZ 85007

35

- 36 Steve Olea
- 37 Utilities Division
- 38 Arizona Corporation Commission
- 39 1200 West Washington St.
- 40 Phoenix, AZ 85007

41

42 Robin Mitchell, Esq.

'Arizona Corporation Commission 1 1200 West Washington St. 2 3 Phoenix, AZ 85007 4 5 6 **B**y: Robert T. Hardcastle Payson Water Co., Inc. 7 8 9 **END** 10 11

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	REJOINDER TESTIMONY
7	Of
8	Robert T. Hardcastle
9	On Behalf of
10	Respondent Payson Water Co., Inc.
11	
12	Smith vs. Payson Water Co., Inc.
13	Docket No. W-03514A-12-0007
14	
15	July 30, 2012
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	

1	Rejoinder Testimony of Robert T. Hardcastle		
2	On Behalf of Respondent Payson Water Co., Inc.		
3		Docket No. W-03514A-12-0007	
4			
5		July 30, 2012	
6			
7	Question:	Please state your name for the record.	
8	Answer:	Robert T. Hardcastle	
9			
10	Question:	For whom are your employed and in what capacity?	
11	Answer:	I am President of Brooke Utilities, Inc.	
12		,	
13	Question:	How long have you been employed in this capacity?	
14	Answer:	Since August 1996.	
15			
16	Question:	How is Payson Water Co. affiliated with Brooke Utilities, Inc.?	
17	Answer:	Payson Water Co., Inc. is a wholly owned subsidiary of Brooke	
18		Utilities, Inc.	
19		- ·	
20	Question:	Is Payson Water Co., Inc. considered a public service corporation as	
21	())))	defined by Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-	
22		250 and 40-251?	
23	Answer:	Yes	
24			
25	Question:	Did you serve in your present capacity during all of 2011?	
26	Answer:	Yes	
27			
28	Question:	Are you offering this Rejoinder Testimony into the record of Arizona	
29	(Corporation Commission Docket No. W-03514A-12-0007?	
30	Answer:	Yes	
31			
32	Question:	Have you read the Direct Testimony of Complainant Smith?	
33	Answer:	Yes	
34			
35	Question:	How do respond?	
36	Answer:	The Direct Testimony of the Complainant's is substantially hearsay;	
37	- · · · · · · ·	unsupported by any attached evidence; conclusionary; and is replete	
38		with conjecture, speculation, and misstatements of facts.	
39		1 2 2 J 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	

Do you intend to respond to each speculative statement or 1 Ouestion: misstatement of fact? 2 3 No, except as otherwise provided herein. Answer: 4 How do you respond to Complainant Smith's allegation that the June 5 Ouestion: 6 2011 disconnection notice was found in the meter box at 8166 7 Barranca Rd. in Mesa del Caballo? 8 Smith may be correct. The Company intends to show at Hearing that Answer: 9 Smith's assertion is irrelevant. 10 What other comments do you make regarding the Pre-filed Direct 11 Question: 12 Testimony of Smith? Payson Water Co. intends to present its defense to each of Smith's 13 Answer: allegations upon direct and cross examination and otherwise refute 14 15 each of Smith's allegations thereof. 16 Does that conclude your testimony? 17 Ouestion: 18 Answer: Yes. 19